

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example electioneering under the guise of a "documentary". It should be investigated.

Sinclair Broadcasting uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. This corporate edict is not in the interest of encouraging community dialogue which springs out of the interests of the individual community.

When large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.